



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/735,791	12/14/2000	Paul M. Brennan	91436-313	5443	
33000	7590 08/06/2003			_	
DOCKET CLERK			EXAMINER		
P.O. DRAWE DALLAS, TX			SMITH, CRE	IGHTON H	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2645		
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2003	0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

On

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

1

· ·	Application No. 29/	Applicant(s)	NNAN, P.	M
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	T
	Smith	4,C.14.	Group Art Unit 2645	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears			errespondence a	ddress
THE MALING DATE OF THIS COMMEMCENCE OF		•		
Period for Response	3	,)	H(S) FROM THE	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.				
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, and If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defar a Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will, but the set of extended period for response will be the set of extended period for resp	a response within the statut	ory minimum of the	nirty (30) days will be a date of this commu	e considered timely. inication .
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				··
☐ This action is FINAL.				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	for formal matters, pros 5 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 21	secution as to 3.	the merits is cl	osed in
Disposition of Claims				
Of the above claim(s)	<u> </u>	is/are	withdrawn from o	consideration.
☐ Claim(s)		is/are	allowed.	
Claim(s) 1-23		is/are	rejected.	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		is/are	objected to.	
☐ Claim(s)————————————————————————————————————		are su	ubject to restrictio	n or election
Udain(s)		requir	ement.	•
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.		- d	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	isapproved	⊔ disapprovi	ea.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	ted to by the Examiner.		•	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority us □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of 	nder 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a the priority documents)-(d). have been		
☐ received.☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Numb	.pr)			
\Box received in this national stage application from the Int	ernational Bureau (PCT	Rule 1 7.2(a)).	
*Certified copies not received:		·	· ·	
Attachment(s)	7			
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	No(s)		nmary, PTO-413	
Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		rmal Patent Appl		
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-9	48	Other		

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-97)

*U.S. GPO: 1997-417-381/62710 Part of Paper No. _

والعار ومسترات والمهيد الدو

Serial Number: 09/735791 Page 2

Art Unit: 2642

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 12-14, 16, & 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Baiyor et al.

Baiyor et al disclose a call forwarding method whereby an incoming phone call (105, Fig. 1A) to a primary directory number (DN) will be forwarded to a group of other directory numbers (Secondary DNs 106-113). All of the secondary DN s are alerted. Baiyor et al never disclose that incoming phone call 105 is answered before processing it and forming outgoing calls 106-113, but does mention in col. 2, lines 62-65, that "[A]s the various outgoing call legs may be answered, not answered, released...". Therefore, since Baiyor et al disclose that outgoing calls are answered it is inherent that the incoming call is not answered or Baiyor would

Serial Number: 09/735791 Page 3

Art Unit: 2642

have disclosed this. Also Baiyor discloses in col. 4, lines 5-10, that "[T]he incoming call to the pilot DN is then to be processed by a mobile switch, which then directs the incoming call to the multiple different mobile or wireline secondary DNs of the users predefined alerting group, creating multiple different outgoing communication legs to these differing and independent directory numbers. Whichever outgoing call leg is first to answer will receive the call and be connected to the calling party, with the other call legs released. For claim 5, see col. 6, lines 63-66.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3, 6-11, 15, 18-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baiyor et al in view of Otto or Brennan et al.

Pertaining to claims 3 & 8-10, Otto discloses the use of a call forwarding system, that will forward calls to a voice mailbox when the call is not answered. Otto also discloses that the called party is to be prompted by Otto's system to enter a password in order to receive calls from the calling party, col. 2, lines 22-44; col. 5, lines 57-67 & col. 6, line 1-9. To have provide Otto's teaching of requiring a called party in input a PIN/password in order to receive a calling party's phone call into Baiyor's call forwarding would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art because the system will want to insure that the called party is the who that is

Serial Number: 09/735791 Page 4

Art Unit: 2642

answering the call and not some other unintended recipient. Regarding claim 6, Brennan et al disclose a voice mail system in their call forwarding apparatus, col. 4, line 66; col. 10, line 65. It is old and well known that when a phone call is not answered within a predetermined number of rings it will be forwarded to voicemail. To have similarly provided Brennan's voicemail in Baiyor's call forwarding system would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art because if the called party is not available to receive the calling party's call, he will at least have a message to call the calling party back. Likewise, Otto discloses the use of a voicemail system to record messages for the called if they are unavailable to answer the calling party's call.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to C Smith at telephone number 308-2488.

Creighton Smith Primary Examiner

Creighton Smith

01 AUG '03